

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/533,980</p>	<p>Applicant(s) ARAKEL ET AL</p>
<p>Examiner /Peter A. Hruskoci/</p>	<p>Art Unit 1797</p>	

All Participants:

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) Raymond D. Smith

Date of Interview: 6 July 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 12/1/08

Claims discussed:
All

Prior art documents discussed:
Prior art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Amendments to the claims were suggested to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record. Mr. Smith agreed to E-file a supplemental amendment for formal consideration.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)